

AGENDA

Planning & Organization Committee and Recycling Board Members

Daniel O'Donnell, **President**
Environmental Organization
Tim Rood, **1st Vice President**
City of Piedmont
Toni Stein, **2nd Vice President**
Environmental Educator
Adan Alonzo, Recycling Programs
Lorrin Ellis, City of Union City
Greg Jones, City of Hayward
Peter Maass, City of Albany
Michael Peltz, Solid Waste Industry Representative
Jerry Pentin, City of Pleasanton
Steve Sherman, Source Reduction Specialist
Minna Tao, Recycling Materials Processing Industry

MEETING OF PLANNING AND ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE AND ALAMEDA COUNTY RECYCLING BOARD

**Thursday, June 11, 2015
4:00 p.m.**

**StopWaste Offices
1537 Webster Street
Oakland, CA 94612
510-891-6500**

**Teleconference:
Peter Maass
Albany City Hall
Administrative Conference Room
1000 San Pablo Avenue
Albany, CA 94706
(510) 528-5710**

Meeting is wheelchair accessible. Sign language interpreter may be available upon five (5) days notice to 510-891-6500.

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDENT

Page IV. CONSENT CALENDAR (P&O & RB)

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | 1. Approval of the Draft Minutes of May 14, 2015 (Wendy Sommer) | Action |
| 7 | 2. Board Attendance Record (Wendy Sommer) | Information |
| 9 | 3. Written Report of Ex Parte Communications | Information |
| 11 | 4. Grants Under \$50,000 (RB only) (Gary Wolff & Wendy Sommer) | Information |

V. OPEN PUBLIC DISCUSSION

An opportunity is provided for any member of the public wishing to speak on any matter within the jurisdiction of the Board, but not listed on the agenda. Each speaker is limited to three minutes.

VI. REGULAR CALENDAR (P&O & RB)

- | | | |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 13 | 1. FY15-16 Budget Adoption (RB Only) (Gary Wolff & Pat Cabrera)
Staff recommends that the Recycling Board (RB) hold a public hearing on the budget and then adopt the portion of the FY15-16 budget funded by the RB, pursuant to the attached resolution (Attachment B). | Action/
Public Hearing |
| 23 | 2. Municipal Panel Presentation: Special Handling at the Curb (Wendy Sommer & Meghan Starkey)
This item is for information only. | Information |

- | | | |
|------|--|---------------------------|
| 49 | <p>3. Legislation 2015 Update (Wendy Sommer, Debra Kaufman & Wes Sullens)</p> <p>This item is for information only. Staff recommends that the Boards receive this status update on Agency legislative positions for the 2015 session of the California Legislature.</p> | <p>Information</p> |
|
 | | |
| | <p>VII. OTHER PUBLIC INPUT</p> | |
| | <p>VIII. COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS</p> | <p>Information</p> |
| | <p>IX. ADJOURNMENT</p> | |

DRAFT

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
PLANNING & ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE
AND
ALAMEDA COUNTY RECYCLING BOARD**

**Thursday, May 14, 2015
7:00 p.m.**

**Hayward City Hall
777 B Street
Conference Room 1C, 1st Floor
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 583-4400**

I. CALL TO ORDER

President Daniel O'Donnell called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

II. ROLL CALL

Adan Alonzo, Recycling Programs
Lorrin Ellis, City of Union City (arrived 7:06 p.m.)
Greg Jones, City of Hayward
Peter Maass, City of Albany
Daniel O'Donnell, Environmental Organization
Michael Peltz, Solid Waste Industry Representative
Jerry Pentin, City of Pleasanton (arrived 7:10 p.m.)
Tim Rood, City of Piedmont
Toni Stein, Environmental Educator (arrived 7:10 p.m.)
Minna Tao, Recycling Materials Processing Industry

Absent:

Steve Sherman, Source Reduction Specialist

Staff Present:

Wendy Sommer, Deputy Executive Director
Arliss Dunn, Clerk of the Board
Audrey Beaman, County Counsel
Meri Soll, Senior Program Manager
Tom Padia, Recycling Director
Maryann Koller, Azevada PTA
David Lewis, Save the Bay

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDENT

Wendy Sommer shared a photo including WMA Board member Shelia Young, Recycling Board member Daniel O'Donnell and agency staff. The photo was taken at a sheet mulching lawn conversion event in San Lorenzo. 500 people were in attendance at the landscape expo and 60 people helped with lawn conversion. KGO television covered the event. President O'Donnell stated the event went very well. President O'Donnell announced that he attended the Bio-Cycle conference in April and is pleased and amazed at the amount of funding currently going towards compost research and application.

IV. CONSENT CALENDAR

- | | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1. | Approval of the Draft Minutes of April 9, 2015 (Wendy Sommer) | Action |
| 2. | Board Attendance Record (Wendy Sommer) | Information |
| 3. | Written Report of Ex Parte Communications (Wendy Sommer) | Information |

Board member Tao made the motion to approve the Consent Calendar. Board member Maass seconded and the motion carried 7-0 (Ellis, Pentin, Sherman, and Stein absent).

V. OPEN PUBLIC DISCUSSION

There was none.

VI. REGULAR CALENDAR

- | | | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 1. | Potential Time Change for July meeting (Wendy Sommer) | Action |
|----|--|---------------|
- Direct staff of the preferred meeting date/time for the July meeting.

Wendy Sommer provided a summary of the staff report. Board member Peltz made the motion to approve Option 2: Changing the July 9th meeting time to 5:30 p.m. Tour of Tesla will start at 4 p.m. and the Committee meeting will be convened at 5:30 p.m. at the Fremont Transfer Station. A tour of the Transfer Station will follow. Board member Ellis seconded and the motion carried 8-0 (Pentin, Sherman, and Stein absent).

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 2. | Azevada Elementary School Parent Teacher's Association Nonprofit Grantee Presentation (Wendy Sommer & Meri Soll) | Information |
|----|---|--------------------|
- This item is for information only.

Meri Soll provided a summary of the staff report and introduced Maryann Koller, PTA President. Ms. Koller provided an overview of the outcome and results of the recent grant. The staff report is available here: <http://www.stopwaste.org/azevada/grant/presentation/pdf>

Board member Stein asked if the school lunch is cooked onsite or delivered to the school. Ms. Koller replied that lunch is delivered to the school and then put out on cardboard trays. Board member Stein asked if a bin is required for non-recyclable items and inquired if the school has considered compostable utensils. Ms. Koller stated yes, a non recyclable bin is available but the volume is usually light and mostly for snack wrappers, plastic utensils and straws. She added she will speak with the principal regarding compostable utensils but this is a school district wide issue. Board member Stein inquired if food scraps is being used for the garden. Ms. Koller stated not at this time but they do have a garden hose and they are being careful due to skunks and raccoons in the area. Board member Tao commended Ms. Koller on a wonderful program and asked if the students are able to use the same food scrap and recycling techniques at home. Ms. Koller stated yes, the City of Fremont supports the program and the franchise provider offers the same program at home.

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 3. | Reusable Bag Ordinance 2012-2: Potential Expansion (Discussion) (Wendy Sommer & Meri Soll) | Information |
|----|---|--------------------|
- This item is for information only.

Meri Soll presented a PowerPoint presentation and led the discussion regarding the Reusable Bag Ordinance 2012-2: Potential Expansion. A copy of the PowerPoint presentation is available here: <http://www.stopwaste.org/2015/committee/bags/presentation.pdf>

Wendy Sommer reported on the comments from the P&A Committee meeting earlier that day. A majority of the members prefer not to follow the previously approved process and would like staff to come back to the WMA Board with options for expansion at a later date. Some comments in favor of continuing the discussion on the ordinance expansion included: the value of the ordinance as an educational tool, the need for regional consistency for shoppers, and the importance of showing our leadership in this area. The City of Fremont representative was not present but staff from Fremont expressed support if it is a unanimous decision, and not a patchwork approach. The City of Dublin was opposed to reopening the process and preferred to stay the course due to neighboring counties not adopting a countywide ordinance and future agency budget constraints. Board member Biddle also expressed a feeling of ordinance fatigue with respect to the MRO, HHW, and Benchmark Ordinances.

Ms. Sommer provided clarification with respect to process. The original ordinance included an opt-out date which has since passed, so if the Board elects to adopt the amended ordinance that is countywide, there will be no opt-out provision. Board member Pentin stated if we were to amend the ordinance we should be able to add an opt-out provision. County Counsel Audrey Beaman stated that she would need to review the current ordinance to see if the language prohibits adding an opt-out provision to amendments, however, she does not believe there is such a prohibition. Ms. Sommer also clarified that the \$180,000 funding from the Clean Water Program will cover all costs of expansion for mostly the first year only and the Board will need to look at budgeting for subsequent years.

Board member Tao inquired how to measure effectiveness with respect to behavior change if expanding to include 7,000 additional stores and what would be the total cost per store. Ms. Soll stated that it is difficult to measure the cost per store but data shows the existing ordinance has been very effective with the current covered stores with respect to behavior change.

Board member Maass inquired if SB270 could have any future effect on an expanded ordinance. Ms. Soll explained that if the bill becomes law in 2016 we would only be able to change the cost per bag and the affected stores. Board member Maass inquired why the Clean Water Program was selected to work with the cities. Ms. Sommer stated the Clean Water Program approached us to expand the ordinance since our agency did not prioritize the effort. Ms. Sommer added that although the reusable bag ordinance is beneficial with respect to storm water issues, the agency's mission is to address waste reduction. There are approximately 1,800 tons of additional plastic bags that an ordinance expansion could potentially address, as compared to the 350,000 potential tons that could be diverted through the Mandatory Recycling Ordinance (MRO). Expanding the bag ordinance will entail reassigning staff resources from other Agency priority programs such as MRO, HHW and Grants to NonProfits.

Board member Mass inquired if agency staff time allocated to the ordinance can be reduced if member agency staff assumed some of the enforcement duties. Ms. Soll stated that the ordinance was setup designating WMA staff as the enforcement arm to ensure consistency. Member agency staff will have to be trained on our enforcement protocol and practices, which will result in Agency staff time. Ms. Sommer added in addition to enforcement there also data gathering and analysis, outreach efforts, stakeholder process and other duties.

Board member Peltz inquired if staff can project the volume of complaint activity if the ordinance is expanded. Ms. Soll stated we are currently using an inspection based ordinance but counties that do complaint based enforcement report that there is heavy volume the first year but subsides over time.

Board member Rood asked who is responsible for the San Jose ordinance and the number of staff allocated to the project. Ms. Soll stated the Department of Environmental Services was responsible for the ordinance with a half-time staff person. Board member Rood inquired about projected cost of expanding the ordinance three years out. Ms. Soll stated it is difficult to project as she is uncertain as to what duties will still be required and exactly how many stores will be affected and how many complaints we will get. Board member Ellis stated the ongoing costs raise concern with respect to the budget. Board member Rood inquired whether the buy-in process from City Administrators is intended to be a “poison pill”. Board member Pentin stated that the process was adopted knowing that the buy-in process was one of the biggest hurdles.

David Lewis, Save the Bay, encouraged the Committee to pursue expansion. Board member Stein inquired about the broader motivators and externalities that concern Save the Bay with respect to the plastic bag issue. Mr. Lewis stated that Save the Bay has 60,000 members and the primary motivation is protecting the bay for people and wildlife both from an environmental and human health perspective. Board member Pentin asked for clarity on a couple of issues relating to letters received from Save the Bay. In particular, a letter stating Pleasanton had opted out of the expansion. Mr. Lewis stated that he would research the issue and report back to Board member Pentin and make corrections as necessary.

Board member Pentin stated that it appears that staff is asking for action on this item but that the item is on the agenda as an information item. Ms. Beaman stated that it is permissible for the Board to provide suggestions and to weigh in as information. Board member Rood stated that he is not opposed to staff coming back to the WMA despite the second criteria not having been met. However, he has serious concerns about the agency’s ability to finance the expansion in future years and he is not certain that this is the most effective program for the agency to fulfill its goals.

Board member Stein stated that she also recommends that the item come back to the Board but with additional options such as including a characterization and categorization of the 7,000 stores so that we can possibly focus on a smaller subset of stores and also a phase-in process. Additionally, include information on other environmental benefits from this ordinance. Board member Jones supported bringing the item back to the Board for a full discussion and analysis of where other financial resources can come from including possibly some member agency and other organizations financial input, including asking the Clean Water Program for additional funding. He added he is concerned about the return on the investment of this program and would like to consider other programs that may receive a more robust return. Board member Peltz concurred with Board member Jones’ comments and added staff should do more research to determine what the most effective use of funds is to make the ordinance more effective. Board member Maass stated his support for continuing the discussion of expansion and was optimistic that the northern portion of the county would be receptive to adding additional resources and agreed that staff should provide more alternatives for expansion. He also added that he would not like to see a reduction in resources towards other agency programs.

Board member O’Donnell commented that if we can change the definition of grocery stores to include stores that sell snacks and candy (e.g. Home Depot, Fry’s), we could possibly reduce some of the cost. Ms. Soll stated that the hard cost i.e. stamps, outreach materials, are minimal but the labor costs i.e. staff hours consumes most of the project budget. Board member Alonzo stated the best option is producer responsibility and possibly involve the retailers to generate funds to cover the ordinance. Tom Padia stated that because of Prop 26 we can’t make this a cost recovery effort with revenue coming from stores. Board member Ellis concurred with Board member Alonzo that producer responsibility is the best method at a statewide level, through piggybacking on legislative efforts Board member Stein stated that we should provide information to the incoming 7,000 stores on where to purchase the compliant bags.

VII. OTHER PUBLIC INPUT

There was none.

VIII. COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS

President O'Donnell reminded the Board of the July meeting will start with a tour of Tesla at 4:00 p.m. and convene the meeting at 5:30 p.m. at the Fremont Transfer Station.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

This page intentionally left blank

2015 - ALAMEDA COUNTY RECYCLING BOARD ATTENDANCE

	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D
REGULAR MEMBERS												
A. Alonzo	X	X	X	X	X							
L. Ellis	X	X	A	X	X							
G. Jones	X	X	X	X	X							
P. Maass	X	X	X	X	X							
D. O'Donnell	X	X	X	X	X							
M. Peltz	X	X	X	A	X							
J. Pentin	X	X	I	X	X							
T. Rood	X	X	X	X	X							
S. Sherman	X	X	X	X	A							
T. Stein	X	A	X	X	X							
M. Tao	X	A	X	X	X							
INTERIM APPOINTEES												
D. Biddle			X									

Measure D: Subsection 64.130, F: Recycling Board members shall attend at least three fourths (3/4) of the regular meetings within a given calendar year. At such time, as a member has been absent from more than one fourth (1/4) of the regular meetings in a calendar year, or from two (2) consecutive such meetings, her or his seat on the Recycling Board shall be considered vacant.

X=Attended

A=Absent

I=Absent - Interim Appointed

This page intentionally left blank

DATE: June 4, 2015
TO: Recycling Board
FROM: Wendy Sommer, Deputy Executive Director
SUBJECT: Written Reports of Ex Parte Communications

BACKGROUND

Section 64.130 (Q)(1)(b) of the Alameda County Charter requires that full written disclosure of ex parte communications be entered in the Recycling Board's official record. At the June 19, 1991 meeting of the Recycling Board, the Board approved the recommendation of Legal Counsel that such reports be placed on the consent calendar as a way of entering them into the Board's official record. The Board at that time also requested that staff develop a standard form for the reporting of such communications. A standard form for the reporting of ex parte communications has since been developed and distributed to Board members.

At the December 9, 1999 meeting of the Recycling Board, the Board adopted the following language:

Ex parte communication report forms should be submitted only for ex parte communications that are made after the matter has been put on the Recycling Board's agenda, giving as much public notice as possible.

Per the previously adopted policy, all such reports received will be placed on the consent calendar of the next regularly scheduled Recycling Board meeting.

This page intentionally left blank



Date: May 20, 2015

TO: Authority & Recycling Board

FROM: Gary Wolff, Executive Director
Wendy Sommer, Deputy Executive Director

SUBJECT: Informational Report on Grants Issued Under ED Signature Authority

General Mini-grant and board agendas by giving the Executive Director authority to sign contracts and grant agreements less than \$50,000. A condition of the new grant policy is that staff inform Board members of the small grants issued at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting.

Grants –March 15, 2015 through May 15, 2015

Project Name	Grant Recipient	Project Type/Description	Location	Verification	Grant Amount	Board
Go Box Oakland Reusable Take-out Container Pilot	Go Box SF Bay	Go Box SF Bay will develop a reusable take-out food container exchange pilot in Oakland. Grant funding will support the equipment, infrastructure, outreach/education and recruitment for a container wash site, four restaurants, 50 members and one corporate customer. Go Box will track the number of disposables diverted from landfill, communicating with participants, picking up, washing and delivering sanitized containers to food vendors, diverting a minimum of 1,000 disposable take-out lunch containers annually.	Oakland		\$12,500	WMA

Off the Grid Food Truck Reusable Container Pilot	Off the Grid Services, LLC	<p>Off the Grid Services, LLC will develop a reusable food service ware pilot program for their mobile food truck market in Hayward. Currently, all market food trucks are encouraged to use compostable or recyclable food service ware and the materials are hand-sorted for recycling and composting. Grant funding will help Off the Grid reduce the volume of single use disposable service ware and packaging at their Hayward market which includes 8 reoccurring food trucks.</p> <p>The project will also include an analysis of internalizing a washing system or contracting out washing services.</p>	Hayward		\$15,000	WMA



June 4, 2015

TO: Recycling Board

FROM: Gary Wolff, Executive Director
Pat Cabrera, Administrative Services Director

SUBJECT: FY15-16 Budget Adoption

BACKGROUND:

The proposed budget was presented at a combined meeting of the Boards on April 22nd. The staff memo from that meeting is available at: [04-22-15/StaffMemo/15-16Budget](#). The proposed budget (with some errata corrected, as listed in Attachment A) is available at: [Draft 15-16 Agency Budget](#). The PowerPoint presentation from April 22nd is available at <http://stopwaste.org/fy15/16budgetpresentation>.

Draft resolutions that would adopt the relevant parts of the budget were provided in the April agenda package. The updated resolution now includes the lists of contracts requiring RB approval (when total contracts to a vendor are \$50,000 or more) as shown in attachment B of this staff report.

The Waste Management Authority (WMA) and the Energy Council (EC) adopted their respective portions of the budget at their meetings held May 27, 2015 by the following vote: WMA: 17-0 (Haggerty and Ellis absent); EC: 15-0 (Haggerty and Ellis absent). The cover memo and attachments for those meeting is available at <http://www.stopwaste.org/04/22/15budgetpacket.pdf>.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Recycling Board (RB) hold a public hearing on the budget and then adopt the portion of the FY15-16 budget funded by the RB, pursuant to the attached resolution (Attachment B).

Attachment A: List of minor changes in the budget proposal since April 22nd
Attachment B: Draft RB Budget Resolution

ATTACHMENT A

The following are minor changes to the draft budget (does not change the total appropriation)

PROJECT BY FUNDING SOURCE (PAGES III-3 TO III-6)

Project 2050 Ready, Set, Recycle ; total budget = \$1,481,542

Funding spread was shown as \$1,191,463 from Facility Fee Fund and \$290,079 from Mitigation Fund

Corrected Funding spread: \$1,317,770 from Facility Fund and \$163,772 from Mitigation Fund

Project 2110 Construction and Demolition Debris Recycling total budget = \$277,744

Funding spread was shown as \$80,618 from Mitigation Fund and \$197,126 from RB Market Development Fund

Corrected Funding spread: \$186,731 from Mitigation Fund and \$91,013 from RB Market Development Fund

Project 3410 General Planning total budget = \$115,670

Funding spread was shown as \$115,670 Facility Fee Fund

Corrected Funding spread: \$95,670 from Facility Fee Fund and \$20,000 from Mitigation Fund

Transfer correction

From OPD reserve to fund Project 3420 Residential Organics Recovery Pilots

Was shown as \$350,426; corrected to \$350,231

Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) correction

Revenue and loan fund repayment was shown as \$299,000 corrected to \$81,883; Fund balance changes from \$848,993 to \$631,876

Change to fund balances

Facility Fee Fund balance changes from \$3,510,446 to \$3,413,139; RB Market Development Fund Balance changes from \$6,314 to \$112,427

Total Fund balance (including the RLF) changes from \$13,732,664 to \$13,415,352. Fund Balance (excluding the RLF) changes from \$12,883,671 to \$12,883,476.

Corresponding corrections on pages 111-7 and 111-8 (Fund Balances Available), page 111-10 Schedule of Reserves, and on the budget narrative and graphs on pages II-2, -II-3, II-6, II-10, and II-11

ATTACHMENT B

ALAMEDA COUNTY SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD

RESOLUTION #RB 2015

MOVED:

SECONDED:

AT THE MEETING HELD JUNE 11, 2015

THE ALAMEDA COUNTY SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD AUTHORIZES
ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 BUDGET AND
PROJECT CONTRACTS

WHEREAS, a preliminary budget for Fiscal Year 2015-16 has been developed which incorporates programs and projects recommended by the Executive Director; and

WHEREAS, this budget was presented at the joint meeting of the Alameda County Waste Management Authority, the Alameda County Source Reduction and Recycling Board and the Energy Council at the meeting held on April 22, 2015 for review and comment; and,

WHEREAS, legal notice of the budget hearing has been provided, and the matter scheduled on the June 11, 2015 Recycling Board agenda for adoption.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Alameda County Source Reduction and Recycling Board hereby:

1. Adopts the Recycling Board's portion of the Fiscal Year 2015-16 Budget (see Attachment 1 pages III-3 through III-6 and pages III-8 and III -11), with expenditures totaling 8,926,535 and authorizes staff to proceed with Recycling Board administration, programs and operations in accordance with the adopted budget, effective July 1, 2015.
2. Authorizes the Executive Director to utilize the fiscal reserve totaling \$694,981 if necessary
3. Authorizes the following new or augmented contracts and/or spending authority for Fiscal Year 2015/16 subject to approval as to form by Legal Counsel and consistent with the Agency's purchasing policy.

Contracts/Spending Authority

Product Decisions Program Group

Regionalizing Bay Friendly

Bay Friendly Coalition

\$12,000

Communications, Administration and Planning (CAP) Program Group

Five Year Audit

Crowe Horwath , LLP

\$82,710

General Agency Communications

Build it Green

\$30,000

Passed and adopted this 11th day of June, 2015 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Gary Wolff, Executive Director

This page intentionally left blank

ATTACHMENT 1

WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY & SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD & ENERGY COUNCIL Projects by Funding Source- Budget FY 15/16

EXPENDITURES	Total Cost	Waste Management Authority					Energy Council Board	Recycling Board			
		Facility Fee	Mitigation Fee	Externally Funded	Benchmark Fee	HHW Fees	Energy Council	RB Discretionary**	RB Grants to Non-Profit	RB Source Reduction	RB Market Development
1000 -PRODUCT DECISION:											
1020 Technical Assistance and Services	\$ 524,082	\$ 174,729								\$ 174,676	\$ 174,676
1030 BayROC (Bay Area Regional Recycling Outreach Coalition)	24,203	24,203									
1031 BayROC External Contributions	100,000			\$ 100,000							
Sub-total	648,285	198,932	-	100,000	-	-		-	-	174,676	174,676
1100 Bay Friendly											
1111 Bay-Friendly Schoolyards (Prop. 84 Funding)	64,250			64,250							
1140 Regionalizing Bay Friendly	126,886	114,886							12,000		
1150 Bay-Friendly Water Efficient Landscape Prop 84 (WMA)	118,553	10,055	10,055							85,470	12,972
1152 Water Efficient Landscape Prop 84 Round II	126,953			126,953							
1153 Bay-Friendly Prop 84 Round III	5,943,039			5,943,039							
Sub-total	6,379,682	124,941	10,055	6,134,242	-	-		-	12,000	85,470	12,972
1200 Product Purchasing and Manufacturing											
1220 Waste Prevention: Institutional/Food Service	406,692	203,346								203,346	
1230 Waste Prevention: Reusable Transport Packaging	293,932	97,997								97,968	97,968
1240 HHW Point of Purchase Alternatives	248,394					248,394					
1250 Waste Prevention: Reusable Bag Ordinance Implementation	159,018	159,018									
1260 Recycled Content: Compost and Mulch	533,642	177,916									355,726
1270 Recycled Content: Building Materials	375,843	125,306									250,537
1280 Hard to Recycle: Institut.and Commercial Food Service Ware & Pack.	176,770	83,385							10,000	83,385	
1290 Hard to Recycle: Packaging Life Cycle Analysis and Recycl. Labeling	214,206		71,416							71,395	71,395
Sub-total	2,408,496	846,968	71,416	-	-	248,394		-	10,000	456,093	775,625
1300 Energy Council											
1347 BayREN (Bay Regional Energy Network)	5,864,094						\$ 5,864,094				
1349 Energy Council Offset	111,560						111,560				
Sub-total	5,975,654	-	-	-	-		5,975,654	-	-	-	-
Total Product Decisions	15,412,115	1,170,841	81,472	6,234,242	-	248,394	5,975,654	-	22,000	716,240	963,273

ATTACHMENT 1

WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY & SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD & ENERGY COUNCIL Projects by Funding Source- Budget FY 15/16

Total Cost	Waste Management Authority					Energy Council Board	Recycling Board			
	Facility Fee	Mitigation Fee	Externally Funded	Benchmark Fee	HHW Fees	Energy Council	RB Discretionary**	RB Grants to Non-Profit	RB Source Reduction	RB Market Development
2000-DISCARD MANAGEMENT										
2020 Schools Transfer Station Tours	620,549	620,549								
2040 Competitive Grants	452,646	15,000						437,646		
2050 Ready, Set, Recycle	1,481,542	1,317,770	163,772							
2070 Benchmark Report Production and Distribution	307,872			307,872						
2080 Benchmark Data and Analysis	567,979			567,979						
2090 Mandatory Recycling Implementation	2,285,664	2,285,664								
Sub-total	5,716,251	1,953,318	2,449,436	-	875,851	-	-	437,646	-	-
2100 Processing Facilities										
2110 Construction & Demolition Debris Recycling	277,744	186,731								91,013
2120 Materials Recovery Facility Operations & Monitoring	387,700	387,700								
Sub-total	665,444	-	574,431	-	-	-	-	-	-	91,013
2300 Hazardous Waste										
2310 Hazardous Waste	17,417	17,417								
2311 Used Oil Recycling Grant	125,000		125,000							
2312 Household Hazardous Waste Facilities	6,201,604				6,201,604					
Sub-total	6,344,021	17,417	-	125,000	-	6,201,604	-	-	-	-
2400 C/I/I Collections (Commercial /Industrial/Institutional)										
2420 Business Assistance Supporting Activities	221,259	110,630					-		110,630	-
Sub-total	221,259	110,630	-	-	-		-	-	110,630	-
Total Discard Management	12,946,974	2,081,365	3,023,867	125,000	875,851	6,201,604	-	-	437,646	110,630
									110,630	91,013

ATTACHMENT 1

WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY & SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD & ENERGY COUNCIL Projects by Funding Source- Budget FY 15/16

	Total Cost	Waste Management Authority					Energy Council Board	Recycling Board			
		Facility Fee	Mitigation Fee	Externally Funded	Benchmark Fee	HHW Fees	Energy Council	RB Discretionary**	RB Grants to Non-Profit	RB Source Reduction	RB Market Development
3000-COMMUNICATION, ADMINISTRATION, PLANNING											
3021 Miscellaneous Small Grants Administration	300,000			300,000							
Sub-total	300,000	-	-	300,000	-	-		-	-	-	-
3200 Other General Activities											
3210 Property Management	108,458		108,458								
3220 Disposal Reporting	185,709	55,713			129,996						
3230 Technical Advisory Committee	47,345	47,345									
3240 Fee Enforcement	356,665	356,665									
Sub-total	698,177	459,723	108,458	-	129,996	-		-	-	-	-
3400 Planning											
3410 General Planning	115,670	95,670	20,000								
3420 Residential Organics Recovery Pilots	350,231		350,231								
3430 ColWMP Amendments Application	13,252	13,252									
3460 Five Year Audit	108,026								108,026		
Sub-total	587,178	108,921	370,231	-	-	-		-	108,026	-	-
3500 Agency Communications											
3510 General Agency Communication	1,148,437	1,080,837							67,600		
3520 4Rs Education	111,774	111,774									
3530 Legislation	282,727	252,727							30,000		
Sub-total	1,542,937	1,445,337	-	-				-	97,600	-	-
Total Communication, Administration, Planning	3,128,293	2,013,981	478,689	300,000	129,996	-		-	205,626	-	-
Total Project Expenditures**	31,487,383	5,266,187	3,584,027	6,659,242	1,005,848	6,449,997	5,975,654	-	665,272	826,870	1,054,286
** Total Project expenditures include: Salaries \$4,867,072 Benefits \$2,123,217 Core Budget \$11,345,324 AND Core Revenues equal \$12,399,155											

ATTACHMENT 1

WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY & SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD & ENERGY COUNCIL Projects by Funding Source- Budget FY 15/16

Total Cost	Waste Management Authority					Energy Council Board	Recycling Board			
	Facility Fee	Mitigation Fee	Externally Funded	Benchmark Fee	HHW Fees	Energy Council	RB Discretionary**	RB Grants to Non-Profit	RB Source Reduction	RB Market Development
REVENUES										
Benchmark Fees				849,192						
HHW Fees					7,765,634					
Energy Council						5,930,654				
Tonnage revenues	5,192,237	1,539,145					1,420,026	946,685	946,685	946,685
Interest	10,000	30,000			3,000	2,000	18,500			
Externally funded revenues			6,659,242							
Property and Other revenues		500,000								
Total revenues	5,202,237	2,069,145	6,659,242	849,192	7,768,634	5,932,654	1,438,526	946,685	946,685	946,685
TRANSFERS										
Return estimated unused FY 14/15 MRF allocation to MRF Reserve	(134,770)	(134,770)								
Transfer from Mitigation Fund to Energy Council (1349)	-	(45,000)				45,000				
From OPD Reserve to fund Residential Organics Recovery Pilots(3420)	350,231	350,231								
From MRF Reserves to fund MRF Operations Monitoring (2120)	387,700	387,700								
From Product Decisions Reserve to fund Regionalizing Bay Friendly (1140)	112,500	112,500								
From OPD Reserve to fund Mandatory Recycling Implementation (2090)	111,271	111,271								
From MRF Reserves to fund Construction & Demolition Debris Recycling (2110)	186,731	186,731								
From OPD Reserve to fund General Planning (3410)	20,000	20,000								
Total Net Transfers	1,033,663	876,163	-	-	-	45,000	-	-	-	-
FUND BALANCE										
Adjusted Beginning fund balance 7/1/15	10,577,510	3,364,589	638,719	196,096	2,190,588	1,078	2,262,452	1,248,909	455,051	220,028
Adjusted Beginning fund balance 7/1/15	10,577,510	3,364,589	638,719	-	196,096	1,078	2,262,452	1,248,909	455,051	220,028
AVAILABLE FUNDING	44,370,858	8,679,326	3,584,027	6,659,242	1,045,288	9,959,222	5,978,732	3,700,978	2,195,594	1,401,736
Less: Project Expenditures	(31,487,383)	(5,266,187)	(3,584,027)	(6,659,242)	(1,005,848)	(5,975,654)	-	(665,272)	(826,870)	(1,054,286)
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$ 12,883,476	\$ 3,413,139	\$ (0)	\$ -	39,440	3,509,225	3,078	\$ 3,700,978	\$ 1,530,323	\$ 574,866
OTHER PROJECTS:										

Revolving Loan (RLF): (Project 2030)	
Beginning fund balance	\$ 1,249,702
Revenues	16,125
Loan Repayment	65,758
Project cost (loans and expenses)	(699,709)
Ending fund balance	\$ 631,876

RB Municipalities (Measure D 50%) (Project 2220)	
Beginning fund balance	\$ 428,758
Revenues	4,735,423
Project cost	(5,164,181)
Ending fund balance	\$ -

Recycled Product Purchase Preference Meas. D 5% (proj. 1210)	
Beginning fund balance	\$ 42,875
Revenues	473,342
Project cost	(516,217)
Ending fund balance	\$ -

Total project cost including other projects	\$ 37,867,490
Total revenues including other projects	\$ 38,050,333

NOTE

Facility Fees=Authority user fee of \$4.34 per ton.
Mitigation Fees= Import Mitigation Fee of \$4.53 per ton collected on all other wastes landfilled in Alameda County that originate out-of-county except San Francisco waste fee is currently \$6.23 per ton.
RB Discretionary=Recycling Board Discretionary Fund - 15% of Measure D fees, of which 3% may be used to cover expenses necessary to administer the recycling fund.
RB Grants to Non-Profit = Recycling Board Grants to Non-Profit Fund - 10% of Measure D fees.
RB Source Reduction= Recycling Board Source Reduction Fund - 10% of Measure D fees.
RB Market Development = Recycling Board Market Development Fund - 10% of Measure D fees.
RB Recycled Prod. Pref. = Recycling Board Recycled Product Price Preference Fund - 5% of Measure D fees.
RB Municipalities = Recycling Board Municipalities Fund - 50% of Measure D fees.
RLF = Revolving Loan Fund

ATTACHMENT 1

**ALAMEDA COUNTY SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD
FUND BALANCES AVAILABLE
FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 BUDGET**

<i>FUND NAME</i>		<i>RESTATED BEG. FUND BALANCE JULY 1, 2015</i>	<i>ADJUST- MENTS</i>	<i>RESTATED BEG. FUND BALANCE JULY 1, 2015</i>	<i>PROJECTED REVENUE</i>	<i>PROJECTED APPROPRIA- TIONS</i>	<i>TRANSFERS</i>	<i>ESTIMATED FUND BALANCE JUNE 30, 2016</i>
RB								
RECYCLING BOARD	% **							
Discretionary****	15%	2,262,452		2,262,452	1,438,526			3,700,978
Grants to Non-Profits	10%	1,248,909		1,248,909	946,685	(665,271)		1,530,323
Source Reduction	10%	455,051		455,051	946,685	(826,870)		574,866
Market Development	10%	220,028		220,028	946,685	(1,054,286)		112,427
Recycled Prod. Purch. Prefer.	5%	42,875		42,875	473,342	(516,217)		0
Municipalities Allocation	50%	428,758		428,758	4,735,423	(5,164,181)		0
<i>Recycling Board Total</i>		4,658,073	-	4,658,073	9,487,346	(8,226,825)	-	5,918,594
<i>Revolving Loan</i>		1,249,702		1,249,702	81,883	(699,709)		631,876

** Mandated percentage apportionment of revenue. Discretionary and Municipalities allocation includes interest.

**** 3% of Discretionary funds may be used to cover expenses necessary to administer the recycling fund.

ALAMEDA COUNTY SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING BOARD
SCHEDULE OF RESERVES
FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 BUDGET

RB				
<i>DESCRIPTION</i>	<i>BALANCE JULY 1, 2015</i>	<i>TRANSFERS IN</i>	<i>TRANSFERS OUT</i>	<i>BALANCE JUNE 30, 2016</i>
FISCAL RESERVE	\$ 694,981			\$ 694,981
Total	<u>\$ 694,981</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 694,981</u>

DATE: June 2, 2015

TO: Alameda County Source Reduction and Recycling Board

FROM: Wendy Sommer, Deputy Executive Director

BY: Meghan Starkey, Senior Program Manager

SUBJECT: Municipal Panel Presentation: Special Handling at the Curb

BACKGROUND

Measure D mandates that 50% of all Recycling Fund revenues be apportioned to eligible municipalities on a population basis. In 1996, the Board began requesting that representatives from each Measure D-funded jurisdiction update the Board annually on their recycling and waste diversion programs.

Approximately every quarter, StopWaste staff assembles a panel of representatives from the member agencies to speak on a topic previously selected by the Recycling Board. The topic for the June Municipal Panel is "Special Handling at the Curb." Agency representatives from the cities of Albany, Emeryville, San Leandro and Piedmont and the Oro Loma Sanitary District will participate in this panel.

DISCUSSION

By way of background, we have summarized which materials that require special handling are accepted at the curb in these jurisdictions (Figure 1). In addition, we have asked member agencies to prepare answers to a standard list of questions, which appears after Figure 1. During the panel itself, representatives will verbally share their answers to these questions. Although member agencies are not required to respond in writing to these questions ahead of time, the representatives from Albany, Emeryville, San Leandro and Piedmont have chosen to do so, and those answers are included in Appendix A.

Figure 1: Materials Accepted at the Curb Requiring Special Handling

	Single Family							
Member Agency	Batteries ¹	Cell Phones ¹	Motor Oil & Filters ²	Compact Fluorescent Bulbs ⁴	e-Waste	Styrofoam (EPS)	Bag of Bags	Bulky Pickup
Albany	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ⁵	No	Yes	1x/yr. By app't. 2nd free for first 300 asks.
Emeryville	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	April neighborhood Bulky Pickups + 1 additional pickup by appointment with a phone call.
Oro Loma	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2x/year. By app't.
San Leandro	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	1x/12 mo period by app't.
Piedmont	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes ⁵	Yes	Yes	4x/Free
	Multifamily							
Member Agency	Batteries ¹	Cell Phones ¹	Motor Oil & Filters ²	Compact Fluorescent Bulbs ⁴	e-Waste	Styrofoam (EPS)	Bag of Bags	Bulky Pickup
Albany	Yes ³	Yes ³	Yes	No	Yes ⁵	No	Yes	Yes, free for 1-4 units, available for a fee for larger buildings
Emeryville	Yes ³	Yes ^{3, 6}	No	Yes	Yes	Yes (as Bulky)	No	Compostable To-Go Boxes & Containers w/ BPI Logo
Oro Loma	Yes ³	Yes ³	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2x/year. By app't.
San Leandro	Yes ³	No	Yes ³	No	No	No	No	1x/12 mo period by app't.
Piedmont	Yes ³	Yes ³	No	No	Yes ⁵	Yes	Yes	No
	Commercial							
Member Agency	Batteries ¹	Cell Phones ¹	Motor Oil & Filters ²	Compact Fluorescent Bulbs ⁴	e-Waste	Styrofoam (EPS)	Bag of Bags	Bulky Pickup
Albany	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Emeryville	Yes ³	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Oro Loma	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
San Leandro	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Piedmont	Yes ³	Yes ³	No	No	Yes ⁵	Yes	Yes	No

Notes:

1. Where available, batteries, cell phones are collected on the top of the cart.
2. Where available, motor oil and filters are collected curbside.
3. Available to Cart Customers only.

4. CFL are collected top of cart in Albany and via mail in Emeryville; available for MFD on SFD routes
5. On-call pickup
6. Batteries are collected via mail for recycling customers with bin or roll-off containers.

Appendix A:

Special Handling at the Curb: Questions for Panelists

1. Participation: If available, please share participation numbers in the program, including number of customers using the services and tonnages and/or volumes by sector (single family, multifamily, commercial).
2. Public Outreach: How have you communicated to customers about these programs? Include both initial and ongoing efforts. What kind of feedback do customers have about the program?
3. Collection Issues: Do customers comply with collection requirements? For example, are there any issues with the following:
 - a. Are materials generally properly prepared and placed curbside?
 - b. Are there materials set out by customers that are not covered by the program?
 - c. Is there increased contamination in recycling carts?
 - d. Did the hauler report any issues regarding proper handling during collection?
 - e. Has there been a problem with materials ending up on the street and being crushed?
4. Program Variations: If there are different services offered to different types of customers, is there a “spillover effect?” Did expanded or free services to one sector affect demand from another?
5. Bulky Waste: Please list (or attach) those materials accepted under bulky pick-up. Are any recycled?
6. What would you recommend that other member agencies consider when adopting a program for special handling at the curb?

Name: Claire Griffing

Member Agency: City of Albany

1. **Participation:** If available, please share participation numbers in the program, including number of customers using the services and tonnages and/or volumes by sector (single family, multifamily, commercial).

Program	2014 Participation Data
Batteries	6,374 batteries
Motor Oil & Filters	182 gallons of motor oil, 140 oil filters
CFLs	295 lbs of CFLs, an estimated 1,475 individual bulbs
Bulky	485 single family and 3 multi-family bulky collections
- Ewaste	2.77 tons
- TVs	2.56 tons
- Monitors	0.54 tons
- Tires	2.1 tons
- Appliances	1.88 tons

2. **Public Outreach:** How have you communicated to customers about these programs? Include both initial and ongoing efforts. What kind of feedback do customers have about the program?

The City has promoted special programs using the following methods (see attached PDF with examples of outreach materials developed):

- Direct mail postcards and brochures sent during initial rollout of new programs
- Posters attached to the sides of Big Belly trash/recycle receptacles on city streets and in parks
- Bill inserts
- Brochures and postcards distributed at events and displayed at City buildings
- Citywide eNewsletter
- Online media (Facebook, NextDoor)
- Informational slides shown on Cable Access Channel
- Video tutorial on cable access channel and website (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dQk97afnXcE>)
- We plan to purchase newspaper and electronic media ads in the near future

Our feedback about the programs has been mainly positive. There has been an initial learning curve, especially regarding the CFL program and the need to order collection bags.

3. Collection Issues: Do customers comply with collection requirements? For example, are there any issues with the following:

a. Are materials generally properly prepared and placed curbside?

Materials are usually placed properly. Occasionally they are placed on the incorrect cart. One recycle trucks are equipped with special waste compartments, but other drivers know to place on recycle carts if a resident has made an error. Sometimes MFD customers with “backyard” service place motor oil near the carts in a trash enclosure and it is missed. This issue is easily resolved by calling Waste Management (WM) to give a heads up that motor oil will be placed next to carts in the trash area. Some customers use their own collection containers for motor oil. WM will still collect them, but this practice is discouraged.

b. Are there materials set out by customers that are not covered by the program?

Many customers set out extra cardboard, which is not allowed per the franchise agreement. Occasionally people place laptops and other forms of e-waste on recycle carts, which have to be left behind. Some customers place cooking oil instead of motor oil curbside.

c. Is there increased contamination in recycling carts?

WMAC has not identified increased contamination as a result of these new special collection programs.

d. Did the hauler report any issues regarding proper handling during collection?

No.

e. Has there been a problem with materials ending up on the street and being crushed?

There was one report of this happening with batteries, but it is not a common issue.

4. Program Variations: If there are different services offered to different types of customers, is there a “spillover effect?” Did expanded or free services to one sector affect demand from another?

Yes, MFD and commercial customers call about special collection programs they don’t have access to.

5. Bulky Waste: Please list (or attach) those materials accepted under bulky pick-up. Are any recycled?

- Recycled Items:
 - Large residential appliances (max 3)
 - Computer monitors (max 2)
 - Computer CPUs
 - Tires (max 4)
 - Mattresses (max 3)
 - Scrap metal (4ft max)
 - Cardboard (flattened and bundled)
 - Yard trimmings
 - Clean wood (6ft max)
- Landfilled Items
 - Furniture
 - Carpet (bundled, 4ft max)
 - Small appliances
 - Painted/treated wood (6ft max)
 - Any non-hazardous items under 75 lbs
- Not Accepted:
 - Hazardous waste
 - Rocks, dirt, concrete or construction debris

6. What would you recommend that other member agencies consider when adopting a program for special handling at the curb?

It is important to keep programs as simple as possible. Adding additional requirements, such as needing to call for special collection containers, can complicate programs. Additional steps require more education and can increase the probability of incorrect setouts. These special collection programs are very expensive and staff-intensive.

Name: Marcy Greenhut

Member Agency: City of Emeryville

1. Participation: If available, please share participation numbers in the program, including number of customers using the services and tonnages and/or volumes by sector (single family, multifamily, commercial).

Bulky: 82 individual collections scheduled in 2014.

2014 Spring Bulky Clean Up Event		
Mattresses	(Qty)	14
Tires	(Qty)	21
White Goods	(Qty)	0
TV's Computers Monitors	(Qty)	18
Misc. eWaste	(Qty)	0
Total	(Tons)	1.93
REL (Trash)	(Tons)	21.18
REL (Diverted)	(Tons)	5.29
Total Diverted	(Tons)	7.22

Motor Oil: 18 Gallons collected in 2014.

Holiday Trees: 3.94 tons collected in 2014.

2. Public Outreach: How have you communicated to customers about these programs? Include both initial and ongoing efforts. What kind of feedback do customers have about the program? New brochures for all sectors were distributed during implementation of our new Franchise Agreement at the beginning of 2011. Residential property brochures include information about bulky collection. The City and WMAC collaborate to send public education materials annually to SF account holders, residents, property managers and HOA managers, who are also reminded of these available services during outreach opportunities. Developers for new residential projects are notified as are new property and HOA managers. I am unaware of much feedback, other than positive response when information is provided. I will bring brochures
3. Collection Issues: Do customers comply with collection requirements? For example, are there any issues with the following:
 - a. Are materials generally properly prepared and placed curbside?

For the most part, yes, but there are some small annoyances. Cardboard boxes usually are not broken down and bundled; same for branches and other oversized materials. It would also be helpful if the cans were staged 2 feet apart so that the grabber can safely latch onto the cans without knocking things over or possibly on to cars. Used motor oil is often not set out in the WM provided containers. We still take it if the container is secure, but oversized containers won't fit into the boxes on the sides of the trucks, and irregular container sizes generally impact the number of containers we can collect on a given service day.

b. Are there materials set out by customers that are not covered by the program?

Occasionally people place laptops, cell phones and other forms of e-waste on the recycle totter and we have to leave them behind. Also some clarity needs to be given about what to do with cooking oil, I think operations and the customers are a bit confused.

c. Is there increased contamination in recycling carts?

Occasionally there are laptops on top of the recycling cans.

d. Did the hauler report any issues regarding proper handling during collection?

Not noticeably, and not necessarily related to these special collection programs. Some loose plastic bags in the recycling may be attributable to improper understanding of our Bags-in-Bag program for film plastics.

e. Has there been a problem with materials ending up on the street and being crushed?

Not noticeably. Our only concern is that there are some customers that aren't bagging popcorn foam and shredded paper. So when we dump the toters there is a chance of wind catching the foam / paper and blowing it everywhere.

4. Program Variations: If there are different services offered to different types of customers, is there a "spillover effect?" Did expanded or free services to one sector affect demand from another? We included bulky waste for all multi-family properties along with single family properties in our Franchise Agreement. MF properties in the SF neighborhoods can participate in the annual bulky collection; other MF properties call for 2-4 collections per year (under 500, 2; over 500, 4).
5. Bulky Waste: Please list (or attach) those materials accepted under bulky pick-up. Are any recycled? Furniture, electronics, bundled or bagged waste, household appliances, small electronics, tires, mattresses, yard waste. All are salvaged and recycled to the extent practicable.
6. What would you recommend that other member agencies consider when adopting a program for special handling at the curb?
Public Education materials. Uniform availability across sectors (provisions for SFD and MFD).

Name: **Liz Jimenez**

Member Agency: **City of San Leandro**

1. Participation: If available, please share participation numbers in the program, including number of customers using the services and tonnages and/or volumes by sector (single family, multifamily, commercial).

On average ACI collects 200 gallons of used motor oil, 100 used oil filters, and 200 pounds of batteries per month from residential cart customers. These services are not available to commercial accounts.

2. Public Outreach: How have you communicated to customers about these programs? Include both initial and ongoing efforts. What kind of feedback do customers have about the program?

Used Oil

Staff uses various methods to disseminate information about the used oil program. Ads published in the local paper provide the public with information about the used oil and filter curbside collection program, and the certified collection center locations. A “sticky label” is included once per year on the front page of the Bay Area Newsgroup newspapers, which refer residents to the Stopwaste used oil website; newspapers include the Daily Review, Oakland Tribune, and Argus. In the past, the City has contracted C2 Alternative Services for education on used oil recycling, which provides information about how to dispose of oil in San Leandro. Additionally, staff includes information on the city website, flyers at city facilities, in addition to mailing inserts with residents’ solid waste bills.

Battery Recycling

San Leandro hosts five permanent household battery collection sites and a curbside collection program. Much of the outreach is conducted at community recycling events, in addition to tabling at the Downtown Farmer’s Market. Information is also disseminated via the city website, flyers at city facilities, newsletters and bill inserts.

3. Collection Issues: Do customers comply with collection requirements? For example, are there any issues with the following:
 - a. Are materials generally properly prepared and placed curbside?
Yes, residents place batteries in a clear sealed bag and place on top or inside the recycling cart.
 - b. Are there materials set out by customers that are not covered by the program?
Contamination has not been an issue.

- c. Is there increased contamination in recycling carts?
No.
 - d. Did the hauler report any issues regarding proper handling during collection?
Alameda County Industries (ACI) has reported no issues.
 - e. Has there been a problem with materials ending up on the street and being crushed?
Yes. Residents have reported placing bags on top of recycling carts only to end up scattered on the street. In these instances, residents have begun placing sealed bags inside the recycling carts.
4. Program Variations: If there are different services offered to different types of customers, is there a “spillover effect?” Did expanded or free services to one sector affect demand from another?

ACI has reported no spillover effect.

5. Bulky Waste: Please list (or attach) those materials accepted under bulky pick-up. Are any recycled?

Residents are provided with a two cubic yard bin that can be filled with small items. Three bulky items may be set out next to the bin. Acceptable materials include: mattresses, furniture, appliances, electronic waste, latex paint, and up to four passenger tires. ACI sorts materials and recycles what they can.

6. What would you recommend that other member agencies consider when adopting a program for special handling at the curb?

Providing a bin to contain small materials works well for preventing scattered debris and receiving more than the allowed two cubic yards. An alternative to the bin is the bag-it system where ACI provides 14 garbage bag tags. Residents simply affix a tag to each of the bags and place the bags next to their garbage cart on their regular collection day. Residents can use all tags at once or as needed. Tags expire within twelve months of being issued.

Name: Jennifer Gavin

Member Agency: City of Piedmont

1. Participation: If available, please share participation numbers in the program, including number of customers using the services and tonnages and/or volumes by sector (single family, multifamily, commercial).

Bulky Pick Up: 2014 we had 1,233 bulky pick-ups.

E-Waste: 260 collections in 2014 resulting in 10.08 tons diverted

2. Public Outreach: How have you communicated to customers about these programs? Include both initial and ongoing efforts. What kind of feedback do customers have about the program?

A guide is sent out every year to every customer which outlines what materials can go in which container, how to handle cell phone and battery recycling, and how to call for bulky pick up.

Article appears in the local newspaper (Piedmont Post) every week.

Also located in the City's Recycling Guide which is on the City's website and is handed out at the counter and various events throughout the year.

3. Collection Issues: Do customers comply with collection requirements? For example, are there any issues with the following:

- a. Are materials generally properly prepared and placed curbside?

Generally, yes. Occasionally, there are loose set-outs—particularly unflattened cardboard, unbundled yard trimmings.

- b. Are there materials set out by customers that are not covered by the program?

Occasionally there is Household Hazardous Waste set out.

- c. Is there increased contamination in recycling carts?

No noticeable increase in contamination of recycling carts. Occasionally, there are items that are recyclable, but not suitable for curbside collection (i.e., packing “peanuts”).

- d. Did the hauler report any issues regarding proper handling during collection?

Not that has been reported

- e. Has there been a problem with materials ending up on the street and being crushed?

Not that has been reported

4. Program Variations: If there are different services offered to different types of customers, is there a “spillover effect?” Did expanded or free services to one sector affect demand from another?

I have only gotten one call from a multi-family property owner complaining that they should be entitled to the free bulky pick-ups. Bulky pick-ups are available to our multi-family properties but for a fee.

5. Bulky Waste: Please list (or attach) those materials accepted under bulky pick-up. Are any recycled?

E-waste:

TVs (no wood cabinets)	Inkjet Printer/Scanners/Faxes
Computer monitors	Laser printers/Desktop Copiers
Computer/monitor All-in-One	Audio/Video Equipment (stereos)
Computers	Video games, VCR's, DVD's etc.
Laptops & Accessories	Uninterruptible Power Supplies
Keyboards, cables, small accessories	Cell/cordless telephones, answering machines

Bulky Pick-Up: I attached the information from our Recycling Guide.

Discarded computers are taken to the Alameda County Computer Resource Center for renovation, parts cannibalization. Rebuilt items are donated to schools and non-profit organizations. TV's, electronic devices not accepted at the ACCRC would be taken to the West County HHW for pick-up by recyclers; white goods, mattresses, usable furniture, tires, go to Golden Bear Transfer where they are set aside for pick-up by recyclers.

6. What would you recommend that other member agencies consider when adopting a program for special handling at the curb?

One of the things we really do not have to worry about here in Piedmont is illegal dumping. I know that there are other factors that play into this but the fact that bulky pick-ups are offered incentives residents to properly dispose of larger items.

Free CFL Recycling

For all Albany residential customers with recycling cart service.



California law prohibits the disposal of Compact Fluorescent Light (CFL) bulbs in your trash cart. They contain mercury and are a household hazardous waste.

Call Waste Management at **(510) 613-8710**



for your **free** Vaporlok Foil Bag.



www.albanyca.org/albanyrecycles



Free Battery & Cell Phone Recycling

For all Albany residential customers with recycling cart service.



California law prohibits the disposal of batteries and cell phones in the trash. These items can contaminate ground water if sent to the landfill.



Put on top of gray recycle cart on collection day



www.albanyca.org/albanyrecycles



Free Curbside On Call Bulky Item Pickup

Service available to Albany single-family and duplex properties.



**Schedule this service once per year
to get rid of items too large for your trash cart.**

Call Waste Management at (510) 613-8710



to schedule free pickup.



www.albanyca.org/albanyrecycles



CFL BULB RECYCLING

IT'S FREE, EASY & RIGHT FROM YOUR HOME



As part of the new Franchise Agreement, Waste Management of Alameda County is proud to provide curbside collection of Compact Fluorescent Light (CFL) bulbs to residents of Albany.

Free Curbside CFL Collection

CFLs do not belong in your trash cart. They contain mercury and are a household hazardous waste. Now you can collect CFLs for curbside recycling with your free Vaporlok Foil Bag ("bag").

- Place up to six used, unbroken CFLs inside the bag & re-seal.
For broken bulbs, follow the EPA's clean-up instructions:
www.epa.gov/mercury/spills.
- Keep bag sealed at all times.
- Do not re-open bag if bulbs break while inside.
- Store CFLs & bag in a safe place away from children & pets.
- Seal & place full bag on top of your gray recyclables cart for collection.

Contact WM Customer Center at (510) 613-8710 or via e-mail at OakRSSer@wm.com to request your free bag.

You are also entitled to other specialty item services:



Household Batteries Can Be Recycled

Place batteries in a clear plastic bag, seal shut & place on the top of the gray recyclables cart.



Free Annual Bulky Pick Up

Call Waste Management at (510) 613-8710 to schedule your annual free bulky pick up.



172 98th Avenue
Oakland, CA 94603

Return Service Requested



FREE CURBSIDE COLLECTION FOR BATTERIES, CELL PHONES AND CFLS*

**Free to All Customers with Cart Service*

Directions for Battery and Cell Phone Collection:

- California prohibits the disposal of batteries in the trash.
- Household batteries (AAA, AA, B, C, D and small button batteries), including rechargeable and cell phone batteries, can be recycled.
- Place batteries in a clear plastic bag, seal shut and place on the top of the gray recyclables cart.
- Place old cell phones in a clear plastic bag, seal shut and place on top of the gray recyclables cart.



Directions for CFL Bulb Collections:

- Compact Fluorescent Light (CFL) bulbs do not belong in your trash cart. They contain mercury and are a household hazardous waste.
- Call (510) 613-8710 for your free Vaporlok Foil Bag ("bag").
- Place up to 6 used, unbroken CFLs inside the bag, and keep sealed at all times.
- Place bag on top of the gray recyclables cart.

***For broken bulbs, follow the EPA's clean-up instructions: www.epa.gov/mercury/spills.*



75XXXX

Call (510) 613-8710 To Schedule A Bulky Pick-Up Appointment



City of Albany On-Call Bulky Pick-Up Service

You can now schedule one bulky pick up when you choose

Directions:

- Call (510) 613-8710 to talk to a customer service representative
- Review what needs to be picked up
- Your appointment will be scheduled within two weeks of your call
- Look for a pick-up confirmation in the mail
- Mark the appointment date and prepare for pickup
- Keep your confirmation notice
- Please note that there will be no bulky pick ups in January because of holiday tree collections

Eligible Households:

- Single family homes; resident calls to schedule an appointment
- Two-unit residences must contact landlord. Only property owner or manager may call to schedule an appointment.



WE'RE DREAMING OF A GREEN CHRISTMAS

Free Holiday Tree Collection

- Trees are collected curbside on regular collection day during the month of January.
- Place your tree at the curb next to your green waste, recycling and trash carts on your regular pick up day.
- Trees taller than **6 feet** must be cut into lengths of 5 feet to 6 feet or smaller.
- Remove all tinsel, ornaments, nails, and tree stands.
- Green trees only. Flocked trees will not be accepted.
- After Jan. 31, trees will not be collected curbside without additional charge unless they are cut up and properly placed into your green waste cart.



Holiday Service Changes

Collection services are not provided on Christmas Day (Wed., Dec. 25) and New Year's Day (Wed., Jan. 1). Collection will occur one day later during these weeks. Regular Wednesday collections will be made on Thursday, and regular Thursday collections will be made on Friday, regular Friday collections will be made on Saturday.

Questions? Call Waste Management of Alameda County, (510) 613-8710.



For tips to make your holidays green, visit:
www.facebook.com/albanytransportation



RECYCLING HOLIDAY TREES IS EASY!



WHEN:

Holiday tree pickup, for multi-family dwellings with 3-99 units, will take place on Monday, January 7, 2013.

WHERE:

- For multi-family dwellings with 3-99 units, place the tree next to the garbage carts/containers in the central refuse/recycling collection area.
- Properties with 100 units or more may receive a roll-off box free of charge. Please call 510-613-8700 to schedule a delivery.

HOW:

- Green trees only! No flocking, ornaments, tinsel, nails or tree stands (metal or wood).
- Trees must be cut in lengths of 5 feet to 6 feet or smaller.
- Trees improperly prepared cannot be recycled as green waste.

WHAT IF TENANTS WISH TO KEEP THEIR TREE LONGER?

Tenants wishing to keep their tree longer than January 7, or who have a flocked tree, may bring their tree to the Davis Street Transfer Station, located at 2615 Davis Street, San Leandro. One clean, green tree per household may be recycled at no charge. A fee will be charged for flocked trees.

*Please direct additional questions to
Waste Management of Alameda County at 510-613-8710.*

FREE CURBSIDE COLLECTION FOR HOUSEHOLD BATTERIES*

**Free to All Customers with Cart Service*



DIRECTIONS FOR HOUSEHOLD BATTERY COLLECTION:

- California prohibits the disposal of batteries in the trash.
- Household batteries (AAA, AA, B, C, D and small button batteries), including rechargeable and cell phone batteries, can be recycled.
- Place batteries in a clear plastic bag, seal shut and place on the top of the gray recyclables cart.

*Please direct additional questions to
Waste Management of Alameda County at 510-613-8710.*

BULKY WASTE ITEMS INCLUDE:



Furniture & carpets



Mattresses



Refrigerators, ranges, &
similar appliances



Stereos, DVD players,
microwave ovens &
other small devices



E-Waste
(computers, monitors, TVs & other items containing
cathode ray tubes, LCD or plasma screens)



Tires
(no rims)



Large plant debris
(up to 7' x 4' x 2' and/or 100 lbs,
must be bundled and tied)



AND: Clothing is also accepted,
but please consider donating used
clothing to charity

bulky waste

COLLECTION

Bulky waste is collected on an on-call basis. Residential customers receive free bulky waste service up to an annual limit of four collections with a combined total of 20 cubic yards (10 cubic yards maximum each pick-up). Ten cubic yards is approximately 3' x 3' x 30' or 6' x 6' x 7'.

THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE

- Hazardous waste
- Biohazardous or biomedical waste, including medications and needles
- Construction and demolition debris
- Concrete, rocks, bricks and dirt
- Automobile parts, including oil, oil filters or batteries

REMINDER

Please do not forget that many items can be reused or recycled. When you donate reusable or recyclable items to a charity you may be eligible for a tax deduction. To reuse or recycle bulky items, visit www.StopWaste.Org/recycle.

For bulky item pick-up, please call Richmond Sanitary Service at 800-320-8077 or visit www.richmondsanitarypiedmont.com.



Information on E-Waste Recycling in Piedmont

ITEMS	RECYCLING LOCATION
Computers, monitors, TVs, stereos, DVD players, microwave ovens, radios, CD players, telephone answering machines, cell phones, chargers, connectors, cables, cords, wires	Richmond Sanitary: Special bulky waste pick-up at curbside on an on-call basis (1-800-320-8077) Alameda County Computer Resource Center: (510-528-4052)
Large appliances e.g., refrigerators, AC units, washing machines and ranges	Richmond Sanitary: Special bulky waste pick-up at curbside on an on-call basis (1-800-320-8077)
Refrigerators, freezers, AC units	PG&E: Recycling & \$35 Rebate Program (1-800-299-7573)
Household batteries	Piedmont Fire Department: M-Sat, 9 am to 5 pm Richmond Sanitary: Curbside pickup – place in plastic baggy on top of Blue Bin Alameda County Hazardous Waste Facility: Th-Sat, 9 am to 1 pm (800-606-6606)
Compact fluorescent bulbs & tubes	Piedmont Fire Department: M-Sat, 9 am to 5 pm (CFLs only) Alameda County Hazardous Waste Facility: Th-Sat, 9 am to 1 pm (800-606-6606) Home Depot and Ikea – Drop at Customer Service
Cell phones, iPods, camera, GPS units, laptops	Piedmont School Fundraising Office, 401 Highland Avenue, 653-1816

This page intentionally left blank



DATE: June 2, 2015

TO: Programs and Administration Committee
Planning and Organization Committee/ Recycling Board

FROM: Wendy Sommer, Deputy Executive Director

BY: Debra Kaufman, Senior Program Manager
Wes Sullens, Program Manager

SUBJECT: Legislation 2015 Update

BACKGROUND

This is the first year of the 2015/2016 legislative session and through our contract lobbyist we are tracking several bills that are in different stages of the legislative process. The report below highlights the status of the thirty one bills the Agency took positions on in April, 2015. Fourteen of those bills remain alive. We will return to the Board in October with an update on the final status of these bills.

DISCUSSION

In November, 2014, the Waste Management Authority Board approved these three legislative priorities for 2015/2016: 1. Improving the state's disposal reporting system, 2. Extended producer responsibility, and 3. Green buildings and construction via the Cal Green Code update. Below is the status of bills that the Board decided to take a position on in April. We have included a spreadsheet with more detail and links to bill language. Fourteen of the thirty one bills the Board took a position on are still alive. The next deadline is July 17th which is the last day for policy committees to meet and report bills to the floor. September 11th is the last day for each house to pass bills onto the Governor.

Our highest priority has been getting AB 901 amended to address improving the state's disposal reporting system. The proposed amendments will enable our member agencies to obtain accurate hauler information from disposal facilities. This will help our member agencies obtain the information they need to enforce exclusive franchise agreements and also allow our Agency to collect applicable disposal fees. It will also improve upon the accuracy of jurisdiction of origin disposal information. We have worked closely with CalRecycle and Assembly member Gordon's office to craft language useful to our member agencies and other local governments, which would also be amenable to CalRecycle and acceptable to the hauling/disposal community. We expect that AB 901 will be amended to address our need for accurate disposal information in upcoming Senate

committee meetings. Once the amendments are made, we will ask member agencies to write letters of support and request assistance from their lobbyists.

CAL GREEN CODE UPDATE

Staff has been working closely with CalRecycle staff, USGBC and other stakeholders to move several agency priorities forward through the Cal Green Code update, including the use of compost and mulch in exterior landscaping, increased C&D recycling requirements, increased recycled content requirements in building materials and space for organics collection. Many of the requirements have changed and continue to change as the code development process proceeds. In addition, much of the state's attention recently has focused on water conservation, so much of the non-water related code updates are taking a back-seat to that statewide priority.

Recently an emergency drought executive order by the Governor helped to resurrect our recommendation that the model water efficient landscape ordinance (WELO) be included as a required part of the building code for newly installed landscapes (new or existing). Due to this, the Building Standards Commission agreed to enact WELO as part of the building code for newly installed landscapes. This was a huge accomplishment and mitigated the argument that this would be too hard for building departments to enforce. Outdoor landscapes are now officially part of the building code. We will share Bay-Friendly rating system tools already developed with city building officials to help them implement this new code.

Staff will provide further update on the status of this effort at the June committee meeting.

Status of Bills:

The following lists other bills that we are recommending positions on. The detail for these bills, including a link to the text of the bill, is contained in the excel spreadsheet.

AB 45 (Mullin) HHW. Oppose.
Status: Dead

AB 190 (Harper) Bags. Oppose.
Status: Dead

AB 191 (Harper) Bags. Oppose.
Status: Dead

AB 199 (Eggman) Alternative energy: recycled feedstock. Support.
Status: Dead

AB 761 (Levine) Compost application. Support.
Status: Passed Assembly.

AB 864 (Williams) Solid waste facility permits. Oppose unless amended.
Status: Passed Assembly

AB 876 (McCarty) Compostable organics. Support.
Status: Assembly Second Reading

AB 901 (Gordon) Solid Waste reporting requirements. Sponsor/Support.
Status: Assembly third reading

AB 997 (Allen) Recycling plastic material. Oppose.
Status: Dead; expected to become a two year bill.

AB 1019 (Garcia) Metal Theft. Support.
Status: Dead

AB 1045 (Irwin) Compost permitting streamlining. Support.
Status: In Senate awaiting Committee assignment

AB 1063 (Williams) Solid Waste disposal fees. Support.
Status: Senate Environmental Quality

AB 1103 (Dodd) Organic waste definitions. Support.
Status: In Senate, awaiting committee assignment

AB 1136 (Steinorth) Bags. Oppose.
Status: Dead. Expected to be two year bill.

AB 1159 (Gordon) Sharps/Battery EPR. Support .
Status: Dead. Expected to be two year bill.

AB 1239 (Gordon) Tire recycling. Support.
Status: Assembly Second Reading

AB 1247 (Irwin) Organic input materials as fertilizer. Support.
Status: Dead

SB 662 (Committee on Environmental Quality) Support.
Status: Assembly Natural Resources

SB 742 (Hertzberg) Solid Waste. Watch.

Status: Dead

AB 1377 (Thurmond) Recycling green material. Watch.

Status: Dead. Expected to become a two year bill

AB 1419 (Eggman) Recycling center abandonment. Support.

Status: In Senate awaiting committee assignment

AB 1447 (Low) Solid waste beverage containers. Support.

Status: Dead.

SB 47 (Hill) Environmental health and synthetic turf. Oppose unless amended.

Status: Dead

SB 162 (Galgiani) Treated wood waste: disposal. Support.

Status: Senate Third Reading.

SB 225 (Weickowski) Recycling used tires. Support.

Status: Assembly second reading

SB 732 (Pan) Beverage container recycling. Support.

Status: Dead. Expected to be a two year bill

SB 350 (De Leon) Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act. Support.

Status: Senate third reading.

SB 778 (Allen) Motor oil standards. Support if amended to raise quality standards for motor oil.

Status: Dead

AB 1435 (Alejo) toxics in packaging. Watch.

Status: In Senate awaiting committee assignment

AB 640 (Dahle) household hazardous waste. Watch.

Status: Dead. Expected to become a two year bill.

AB 1256 (Williams) Solid waste administration. Watch.

Status: Dead. Expected to become a two year bill.

RECOMMENDATION

This item is for information only. Staff recommends that the Boards receive this status update on Agency legislative positions for the 2015 session of the California Legislature.

Location	Measure	Author	Topic	Brief Summary	Current Text	Status	Position	Notes 1
5/28/2015-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE	AB 761	Levine D	Carbon sequestration: working lands.	The Cannella Environmental Farming Act of 1995, requires the Department of Food and Agriculture to establish and oversee an environmental farming program to provide incentives to farmers whose practices promote the well-being of ecosystems, air quality, and wildlife and their habitat. This bill would declare that \$50,000,000 shall be available, upon appropriation, to the department to establish a grant program to fund voluntary projects that increase carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions reductions on working lands, as defined.	Amended: 4/21/2015	5/28/2015-Passed Assembly; awaiting Senate Committee assignment	Support	
5/28/2015-S. E.Q.	AB 864	Williams D	Solid waste facilities: temporary permits.	Would require, until January 1, 2025, the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to adopt regulations to authorize an enforcement agency, upon the department's approval, to issue a temporary solid waste facilities permit to a person carrying out solid waste operations at a facility that is required under the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 to have a solid waste facilities permit, but for which a permit has not been obtained. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 4/30/2015	5/28/2015-Passed Assembly; Referred to Senate Com. on E.Q. 6/17/2015 9:30 a.m. - Room 3191 SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, WIECKOWSKI, Chair	Oppose unless amended	Allows for temporary solid waste permits which could be beneficial for facilities that are already operating without a permit, as they will then need to be inspected and have permit conditions, but bypasses County Integrated waste management Plan process and it is not stated how long a temporary permit can be effective; should have a time frame of no more than six or 12 months. Would make it difficult to impose new conditions upon a facility once they are up and running under a temporary permit but does help with those operating illegally without a permit. Request a limit of time for temporary permit and also suggest giving the Department the Authority to shut down facilities operating without a permit as another tool.
5/28/2015-A. SECOND READING	AB 876	McCarty D	Compostable organics.	Would require each countywide siting element to provide an estimate of the total organics processing capacity that will be needed over a 15-year period to safely handle organic wastes generated with the county and to identify areas for the location of organics processing facilities, if needed or desired, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program. The bill would also make legislative findings and declarations. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 4/6/2015	5/28/2015-From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 12. Noes 5.) (May 28).	Support	
5/28/2015-A. THIRD READING	AB 901	Gordon D	Solid waste: reporting requirements: enforcement.	Would revise specified provisions by, among other things, requiring recycling and composting operations and facilities to submit specified information directly to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, rather than to counties, and would delete the requirement for counties to submit that information to cities, regional agencies, and the department. The bill would delete references to periodic tracking surveys. The bill would make other related changes to the various reporting requirements.	Amended: 4/20/2015	5/28/2015-From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 17. Noes 0.) (May 28). Read second time. Ordered to third reading.	SPONSOR /support	We are working with CalRecycle and the Author's office on amendments to include language that allows local governments to receive hauler id info, waste type, volume and origin from out of county landfills

5/28/2015-S. RLS.	AB 1045	Irwin D	Organic waste: composting.	Would require the California Environmental Protection Agency, in coordination with the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, to develop and implement policies to aid in diverting organic waste from landfills by promoting the composting of specified organic waste and by promoting the appropriate use of that compost throughout the state. The bill would require the agency to promote a goal of reducing at least 5 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions per year through the development and application of compost on working lands, and would require the agency to work with the Department of Food and Agriculture to achieve this goal.	Amended: 4/20/2015	5/28/2015-Passed Assembly. In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.	Support	
5/21/2015-S. E.Q.	AB 1063	Williams D	Solid waste: disposal facility: fees.	Would require, on or before July 1, 2016, the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, to hold a public hearing and workshop to develop a proposal for the Legislature regarding a new solid waste management fee which would provide the department with the revenue necessary to carry out certain actions. The bill would require the department, within 6 months of the public hearing and workshop, to propose a new solid waste management fee to the Legislature.	Amended: 4/20/2015	5/21/2015-Passed Assembly; Referred to Com. on E.Q. 6/17/2015 9:30 a.m. - Room 3191 SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, WIECKO WSKI, Chair	Support	CAW and CalRecycle sponsored bill.
5/26/2015-S. RLS.	AB 1103	Dodd D	Solid waste: organic waste.	This bill would also define the terms "food-soiled paper" and "food waste" for purposes of specified provisions relating to a business that generates a certain amount of organic waste to arrange for recycling services specifically for organic waste.	Amended: 5/19/2015	5/26/2015-Passed Assembly; In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.	Support	
5/27/2015-A. SECOND READING	AB 1239	Gordon D	Tire recycling: California tire regulatory fee and waste tire program.	Would require a waste tire generator, as defined, that is a retail seller of new tires to end user purchasers to pay a California tire regulatory fee. The bill would authorize the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to establish the California tire regulatory fee in an amount that is sufficient to generate revenues equivalent to the reasonable regulatory costs incurred by the department incident to audits, inspections, administrative costs, adjudications, manifesting, registration, and other regulatory activities regarding these retail sellers as generators of waste tires , but not to exceed \$1.25 per new tire sold, as provided.	Amended: 5/5/2015	5/28/2015-Assembly Second Reading.	Support	Continues fees on tires to be used for recycling and allows CalRecycle to establish fees that will not exceed cost of implementing program for the tires, on both tire sellers and generators. CAW and CalRecycle sponsored bill.
5/22/2015-A. NAT. RES.	SB 662	Committee on Environmental Quality	Recycling.	This bill would authorize the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to expend money in the Recycling Market Development Revolving Loan Subaccount to make payments to local governing bodies within recycling market development zones for services related to the promotion of the zone.	Introduced: 2/27/2015	5/22/2015-Passed Senate; Referred to Assembly Com. on NAT. RES.	Support	
5/22/2015-S. RLS.	AB 1419	Eggman D	Recycling centers.	Would authorize the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to revoke a certification of a certified recycling center found to be abandoned, as specified. The bill would provide an opportunity for a hearing on that revocation to be conducted in the same manner as a hearing for an applicant whose original application for certification is denied.	Amended: 5/5/2015	5/22/2015-In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.	Support	

5/28/2015-S. THIRD READING	SB 162	Galgiani D	Treated wood waste: disposal.	Current law requires treated wood waste, as defined, to be disposed of in either a class I hazardous waste landfill, or in a composite-lined portion of a solid waste landfill unit that meets certain requirements. Current law makes these, and other requirements regarding treated wood waste, inoperative on June 1, 2017. This bill would remove those limitations for treated wood waste regulations adopted by the department, would extend the operation of these provisions regarding treated wood waste to June 1, 2020, and would repeal the language continuing in force and effect treated waste wood regulations adopted on or before June 1, 2012. By extending the operation of a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.	Amended: 5/5/2015	5/28/2015-From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (May 28). Read second time. Ordered to third reading.	Support	
5/5/2015-A. DESK	SB 225	Wieckowski D	Recycling: used tires.	Current law requires the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to adopt a 5-year plan, which is to be updated biennially, to establish goals and priorities for waste tire programs. This bill would require the department, when adopting the 5-year plan and expending those appropriated funds, to ensure that the expenditure of funds pursuant to the California Tire Recycling Act, reflects the California Integrated Waste Management Act's priorities for waste reduction and recycling.	Introduced: 2/13/2015	5/5/2015-In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.	Support	
5/28/2015-S. THIRD READING	SB 350	De León D	Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015.	Would express the intent of the Legislature for the purposes of the RPS program that the amount of electricity generated per year from eligible renewable energy resources be increased to an amount equal to at least 50% by December 31, 2030, and would require the PUC, by January 1, 2017, to establish the quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources be procured by each retail seller for specified compliance periods sufficient to ensure that the procurement of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources achieves 50% of retail sales by December 31, 2030.	Introduced: 2/24/2015	5/28/2015-From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (May 28). Read second time. Ordered to third reading.	Support	Landfill gas from MSW is currently considered an eligible renewable energy generation sources. However, this bill would exclude all facilities engaged in the combustion of municipal solid waste from being eligible renewable energy resources.
5/26/2015-S. RLS.	AB 1435	Alejo D	Hazardous waste: toxics: packaging.	The Toxics in Packaging Prevention Act defines the term "package" as meaning any container that provides a means of marketing, protecting, or handling a product and specifies that a package does not include a reusable bag. This bill would also exclude a "glass beverage container" and a "glass food or drink container" from the definition of "package."	Introduced: 2/27/2015	5/26/2015-In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.	Watch	
5/15/2015-A. 2 YEAR	AB 640	Dahle R	Household hazardous waste.	Current law authorizes public agencies to operate household hazardous waste collection facilities, as defined, and specifies conditions for the transportation of household hazardous waste. This bill would make nonsubstantive changes to the definitions pertaining to those provisions.	Introduced: 2/24/2015	5/15/2015-Dead; 2 year bill	watch	
5/15/2015-A. 2 YEAR	AB 1256	Williams D	Solid waste: administration.	The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 is administered by the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery in the California Environmental Protection Agency. This would make nonsubstantive changes to the provision establishing the department.	Introduced: 2/27/2015	5/15/2015-Dead; 2 year bill	Watch	Spot bill.

5/20/2015-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE	<u>AB 45</u>	<u>Mullin D</u>	Household hazardous waste.	Would require each jurisdiction that provides for the residential collection and disposal of solid waste to increase the collection and diversion of household hazardous waste in its service area, on or before July 1, 2020, by 15% over a baseline amount, to be determined in accordance with Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery regulations. The bill would authorize the department to adopt a model ordinance for a comprehensive program for the collection of household hazardous waste to facilitate compliance with those provisions, and would require each jurisdiction to annually report to the department on progress achieved in complying with those provisions.	Amended: 4/30/2015	5/28/2015-Dead, may become 2 year bill	Oppose. CPSC will also oppose as will many local governm ents.	AB 939 already requires local governments to have a plan for recycling HHW. These programs need funding and shared producer responsibility. Local governments should have the authority to determine whether drop off or door-to-door is the most efficient program. This would be an unfunded local government mandates and takes attention away from the real need which is shared manufacturer responsibility for the disposal costs of HHW.
4/14/2015-A. NAT. RES.	<u>AB 190</u>	<u>Harper R</u>	Solid waste: single-use carryout bags.	Current law, inoperative due to a pending referendum election, would, as of July 1, 2015, prohibit stores that have a specified amount of sales in dollars or retail floor space from providing a single-use carryout bag to a customer, with specified exceptions. This bill would repeal the above provisions and related provisions. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 3/11/2015	4/13/2015 dead for this year.	Oppose	This would repeal the bag ban adopted last legislative year.
5/1/2015-A. 2 YEAR	<u>AB 191</u>	<u>Harper R</u>	Solid waste: single-use carryout bags.	Current law, inoperative due to a pending referendum election, would, as of July 1, 2015, prohibit stores that have a specified amount of sales in dollars or retail floor space from providing a single-use carryout bag to a customer and prohibit those stores from selling or distributing a recycled paper bag at the point of sale unless the store makes that bag available for purchase for not less than \$0.10. This bill would repeal the requirement that a store that distributes recycled paper bags make those bags available for purchase for not less than \$0.10. This bill contains other related provisions.	Amended: 3/11/2015	5/1/2015-dead for this year; two year bill	Oppose	Oppose: This bill would directly contradict a mitigation to reduce the potential increase in paper bags that a ban on plastic bags could cause by establishing a fee on them as well. The fee on paper and plastic bags has been proven to reduce use of both types of bags and increase the use of reusable bags which are the best solid waste and environmental choice.
5/11/2015-A. REV. & TAX SUSPENSE FILE	<u>AB 199</u>	<u>Eggman D</u>	Alternative energy: recycled feedstock.	Current law establishes the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority to provide financial assistance for projects that promote the use of alternative energies and authorizes the authority to approve a project for financial assistance in the form of a sales and use tax exclusion. This bill would expand projects eligible for the sales and use tax exclusion to include projects that process or utilize recycled feedstock, but would not include a project that processes or utilizes recycled feedstock in a manner that constitutes disposal.	Introduced: 1/29/2015	5/11/2015-Dead	Support	This promotes the use of recycled feedstocks.
5/1/2015-A. 2 YEAR	<u>AB 997</u>	<u>Allen, Travis R</u>	Recycling: plastic material.	Current law requires the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to administer state programs to recycle solid waste, plastic trash bags, plastic packaging containers, waste tires, newsprint, and other specified materials. This bill would restate the policy goal of the state to provide that the goal is for not less than 75% of solid waste generated to be source reduced, recycled, used for power generation in dedicated anaerobic digesters as well as in modern landfills capturing methane gas, or composted by the year 2020, and annually thereafter.	Introduced: 2/26/2015	5/1/2015-dead for this year; two year bill	Oppose	This bill would allow solid waste sent to landfills capturing methane gas to be counted as recycling. No landfill captures 100% of the methane and burying waste that could be recycled or reused is the lowest possible use of that material.

5/28/2015-A. APPR.	<u>AB 1019</u>	<u>Garcia, Eduardo D</u>	Metal theft and related recycling crimes.	Would, until January 1, 2020, require the Department of Justice to establish a Metal Theft Task Force Program designed to enhance the capacity of the department to serve as the lead law enforcement agency in the investigation and prosecution of illegal recycling operations, and metal theft and related recycling crimes, and would authorize the department to enter into partnerships, as defined, with local law enforcement agencies, regional task forces, and district attorneys for the purpose of achieving the goals of the program.	Introduced: 2/26/2015	5/28/2015-Dead	Support	
5/15/2015-A. 2 YEAR	<u>AB 1136</u>	<u>Steinorth R</u>	Reusable grocery bag and recycled paper bag: fee: exemptions.	Current law, inoperative due to a pending referendum petition, would, as of July 1, 2015, prohibit stores that have a specified amount of sales in dollars or retail floor space from providing a single-use carryout bag to a customer and would prohibit those stores from selling or distributing a reusable grocery bag or a recycled paper bag at the point of sale unless the store makes that bag available for purchase for not less than \$0.10. Subject to the referendum petition, this bill would expand the group of customers who would be provided a reusable grocery bag or a recycled paper bag at no cost at the point of sale to include a customer who is 65 years of age or older and a customer who provides proof of current attendance at a California college or university.	Introduced: 2/27/2015	5/15/2015-Dead; 2 year bill	Oppose	This bill allows convenience stores and food marts to provide free paper bags and reusable plastic bags. This would increase the cost of compliance for affected stores.
5/20/2015-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE	<u>AB 1159</u>	<u>Gordon D</u>	Product stewardship: pilot program: household batteries and home-generated sharps waste.	Would establish the Product Stewardship Pilot Program and, until January 1, 2024, would require producers and product stewardship organizations of covered products, defined to mean a consumer product that is used or discarded in this state and is either home-generated sharps waste or household batteries, to develop and implement a product stewardship plan, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 4/21/2015	5/28/2015-Dead; becomes two year bill	Support	CPSC is working with the author to amend this bill to include manufacturer responsibility for batteries and sharps
5/11/2015-A. REV. & TAX SUSPENSE FILE	<u>AB 1247</u>	<u>Irwin D</u>	Sales and use taxes: exemption: organic input material.	The sales and use tax law provides various exemptions from those taxes, including an exemption for fertilizer to be applied to land, the products of which are to be used as food for human consumption or are to be sold in the regular course of business. This bill would additionally exempt from those taxes the gross receipts from the sale in this state of, and the storage, use, or other consumption in this state of, organic input material, as defined, to be applied to land, the products of which are to be used as food for human consumption or are to be sold in the regular course of business. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 3/24/2015	5/11/2015-Dead	Support	Would allow compost to enjoy the same tax exempt status as other fertilizing materials currently do. Would help support increased compost use. Group Support letter to Assemblymember Irwin on 4/7/2015.
5/28/2015-S. APPR.	<u>SB 742</u>	<u>Hertzberg D</u>	Solid waste: diversion.	Would require each state agency and each large state facility, on and after January 1, 2018, to divert at least 60% of all solid waste from landfill disposal or transformation facilities through source reduction, recycling, and composting activities. The bill would also delete an obsolete provision.	Amended: 4/6/2015	5/28/2015-Dead	Watch	Currently a spot bill

5/15/2015-A. 2 YEAR	<u>AB 1377</u>	<u>Thurmond D</u>	Solid waste: recycling: diversion: green material.	The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 requires the source reduction and recycling element to divert from disposal 50% of all solid waste subject to the element through source reduction, recycling, and composting activities, with specified exceptions. Current law governs the use of solid waste as alternative daily cover in the construction and operation of a solid waste landfill, including the determination of what constitutes diversion through recycling rather than disposal. Current law provides for the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery to adopt regulations in this regard. This bill would delete obsolete provisions relating to the adoption of regulations.	Introduced: 2/27/2015	5/15/2015-Dead; 2 year bill	Watch	Currently a spot bill.
5/28/2015-S. APPR.	<u>SB 47</u>	<u>Hill D</u>	Environmental health: synthetic turf.	Would require the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, by July 1, 2017, in consultation with the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, the State Department of Public Health, and the Department of Toxic Substances Control, to prepare and provide to the Legislature and post on the office's Internet Web site a study analyzing synthetic turf, as defined, for potential adverse health impacts. The bill would require the study to include certain information, including a hazard analysis of exposure to the chemicals that may be found in synthetic turf, as provided.	Amended: 3/25/2015	5/28/2015-Dead	Oppose unless amended	Recommend asking Author's office to clarify the study and its contents to be sure that it asks and answers the right questions and is a comprehensive study. Given the fact that there are many studies on this topic, there is a need for the study to be defined to make it useful in evaluating whether, and at what exposure level, there may be health concerns of which component, in various tire related products; study should evaluate pour-in-place product as well as crumb rubber for a wide range of potential health impacts and a wide range of chemicals, with an adequate set of field data to draw conclusions. One goal should be standards for use of the product in a variety of settings including playing fields and playgrounds. A moratorium for two years without an adequate study will not solve the issue. Additionally, tire disposal remains an important solid waste challenge for which safe recycling alternatives are needed.
5/28/2015-A. APPR.	<u>AB 1447</u>	<u>Alejo D</u>	Solid waste: food and beverage packaging.	Would require, commencing July 1, 2016, PET plastic packaging manufactured in the state to be manufactured with, and empty PET plastic packaging imported into the state to be filled with food or drink in the state for sale in the state to contain, a minimum of 10% of postfilled PET plastic, as measured by weight. The bill would require, commencing January 1, 2017, and annually thereafter, every such manufacturer or importer of PET plastic packaging to demonstrate compliance with that requirement by certifying to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery certain information.	Amended: 5/5/2015	5/28/2015-Dead	Support	
5/1/2015-S. 2 YEAR	<u>SB 732</u>	<u>Pan D</u>	Beverage container recycling.	Would, on and after January 1, 2017, require every manufacturer of a beverage sold in a plastic beverage container to demonstrate to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery that each type of plastic beverage container sold in this state contains, on average, not less than 10 percent postfilled material. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 4/6/2015	5/1/2015-dead for this year; two year bill	Support	deposit bill support. CAW sponsored bill.

5/28/2015-S. APPR.	<u>SB 778</u>	<u>Allen D</u>	Automotive oil source reduction.	Would, on and after January 1, 2018, require all automotive oil sold in this state to be certified by the oil manufacturer to achieve a minimum useful life of 10,000 miles when used in accordance with the automobile manufacturer's recommendations, and to meet current automotive industry standards. A violation of these provisions would be a crime, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Amended: 4/20/2015	5/28/2015-Dead	Support if amended to raise quality standards for motor oil so that it lasts longer	
--------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	---	--	--------------------	----------------	---	--