WHEREAS, the Alameda County Charter Section 64 (the Alameda County Waste Reduction and Recycling Act of 1990, hereinafter the “Act”) states that “The Recycling Board shall formulate rules for its own procedures and other rules as necessary to facilitate the implementation of the provisions of this Act,” (Subsection 64.130(J)); and

WHEREAS, the Act states that fifty percent of the monies from the Recycling Fund shall be disbursed on a per capita basis to municipalities for the continuation and expansion of municipal recycling programs (Subsection 64.060 (B)(1)); and

WHEREAS, on November 9, 2006 the Recycling Board adopted Resolution #RB 2006-12, including the following:

Rule 2: Any municipality receiving per capita disbursements of Recycling Fund monies under the Alameda County Waste Reduction and Recycling Act, Section 64.060, shall present to the Board for its approval a written expenditure plan if, at the end of any fiscal year, that municipality has an unspent balance of such monies that exceeds the sum of the municipality’s last eight quarterly Recycling Fund per capita disbursements.

If the municipality fails to provide that written plan or the Board does not approve that plan, the municipality shall be ineligible to receive further disbursements per Section 64.060. The municipality shall not be eligible for further disbursements until the required plan is submitted and approved by the Board and all such forfeited monies shall be disbursed to the remaining eligible municipalities on a per capita basis.

In evaluating a municipality’s proposed expenditure plan, the Board shall consider the following:

- The proposed specific use(s) of the remaining balance and future disbursements.
- The proposed length of time, or schedule over which disbursed funds or fund balances would be used.
- The scope or amount of funds proposed to be expended over the term of the plan.
- The extent to which the plan is designed to meet or promote the provisions, goals or policies of the Act including but not limited to timely expenditure of the funds “for the continuation and expansion of municipal recycling programs.”
- Any other objective and reasonable factors that may be presented by the municipality to support its contention that its proposed plan meets or promotes the provisions, goals or policies of the Act.

These proposed rules shall take effect July 1, 2007. Rule 2 will be applied to the Measure D Annual Reports submitted after the end of FY 07/08 and each year thereafter.
And **WHEREAS**, the absolute dollar amount and the per capita amount of Recycling Fund municipal allocations have declined significantly since 2006, resulting in a declining threshold represented by the sum of the last eight quarterly Recycling Fund per capita disbursements, and

**WHEREAS**, municipalities have requested that the Recycling Board revise the “Rule 2” policy to increase the threshold of unspent per capita allocations that triggers the requirement for an approved Expenditure Plan or ineligibility to receive further disbursements,

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Alameda County Source Reduction and Recycling Board hereby revises and replaces “Rule 2” from Resolution #RB 2006-12 with the following:

**Rule 2:** Any municipality receiving per capita disbursements of Recycling Fund monies under the Alameda County Waste Reduction and Recycling Act, Section 64.060, shall present to the Board for its approval a written expenditure plan if, at the end of any fiscal year, that municipality has an unspent balance of such monies that exceeds the amount of $8.00 (eight dollars) multiplied by the population basis used for the first quarterly disbursement of that fiscal year.

If the municipality fails to provide that written plan or the Board does not approve that plan, the municipality shall be ineligible to receive further disbursements per Section 64.060. The municipality shall not be eligible for further disbursements until the required plan is submitted and approved by the Board and all such forfeited monies shall be disbursed to the remaining eligible municipalities on a per capita basis.

In evaluating a municipality’s proposed expenditure plan, the Board shall consider the following:

- The proposed specific use(s) of the remaining balance and future disbursements.
- The proposed length of time, or schedule over which disbursed funds or fund balances would be used.
- The scope or amount of funds proposed to be expended over the term of the plan.
- The extent to which the plan is designed to meet or promote the provisions, goals or policies of the Act including but not limited to timely expenditure of the funds “for the continuation and expansion of municipal recycling programs.”
- Any other objective and reasonable factors that may be presented by the municipality to support its contention that its proposed plan meets or promotes the provisions, goals or policies of the Act.

Rule 2 will be applied to the Measure D Annual Reports submitted after the end of FY 13/14 and each year thereafter.

**Passed and adopted this 13th day of November, 2014 by the following vote:**

**AYES:** Jones, Natarajan, O’Donnell, Peltz, Pentin, Sherman, Tao, Wozniak

**NOES:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**ABSENT:** Ellis, Kirschenheuter, Stein

___________________________
Gary Wolff, Executive Director